

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/713,659	713,659 11/15/2000		Daniel Geier	7.035	3551
23598	7590	04/25/2005		EXAMINER	
		KSON NEWHOLM	SINGH, SUNIL		
250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 1030				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUK	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			3673	
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assists Commence	09/713,659	GEIER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sunil Singh	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-31 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4, 10-23, 25-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-9 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner access and the correction is objected to be accessed as the correction is objected access and the correction	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050418					

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,5,9,31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French document (1567198).

French document '198 discloses an exciter assembly comprising an exciter housing (10); an exciter shaft (12) rotatably journaled in said exciter housing; a fixed eccentric weight (18) rotationally fixed to said exciter shaft; a free swinging eccentric weight (22,24) mounted on said exciter shaft so as to rotate with respect to said exciter shaft between a first angular position in which the eccentricity of said free swinging weight adds to the eccentricity of said fixed weight and a second angular position in which the eccentricity of said free swinging weight detracts from the eccentricity of said fixed weight (see Figs. 2,4), wherein said free swinging weight is mounted on said exciter shaft so as to be restrained from substantial axial movement along said exciter shaft without the use of any retaining structure that is fixed to said free swinging weight. The free weight is restrained from substantial axial movement along said exciter shaft solely by said fixed eccentric weight (18) and another component of said exciter assembly (this is considered as the shaded area between members 14 & 22 and 16 & 24).

Art Unit: 3673

(Re claim 5), the fixed weight is formed integrally with said exciter shaft. Furthermore, it should be noted that it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. In re Hotte, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

With regards to claim 9 (see Figs. 5-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French document '198 in view of Century (U.S. Pat. 3561336).

French document '198 discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However, French document '198 is silent about his motor having a rotary output shaft which is coupled to the exciter shaft and which is co-axial with the exciter shaft, the motor output shaft being splined directly to the exciter shaft. Century teaches having a motor (70) having a rotary output shaft (80) which is coupled to an exciter shaft (47) and which is co-axial with the exciter shaft, the motor output shaft being splined (81,61) directly to the exciter shaft (see Fig. 2). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify French document '198 by substituting the motor drive means as taught by Century for the motor drive means of French document '198

Art Unit: 3673

since it would be an obvious design choice to substitute equivalent parts for performing equivalent function. It should be noted that such an arrangement allows for ample backlash and play.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French document '198 in view of Salani or Polacek (US 4353261, 5082396).

French document '198 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, it is silent about using his exciter assembly within a drum. Salani and Polacek both teach using exciter assemblies within a drum (see Figs. 1,2 and 8 respectively). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify French document '198 to include a drum as taught by either Salani or Polacek since such a modification would provide an easy to assembly vibratory roller.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is based on a declaration made by Mr. Orzal. On page 2 of applicant's "Remarks" section, it is stated that the shaded unlabeled element between members 14 and 22 and 16 and 24 of the French document '198 is most probably retaining rings or spacers. That being said, applicant's invention is directed to precluding the use of complex ring retainers that positively couple the free weights to the exciter shaft as indicated on page 3 of the applicant's specification. Applicant goes on to say that his exciter assembly does not require "any mounting hardware" instead his free weight is sandwiched solely between the fixed weight and an operative component such as a bearing and/or gears or other torque transfer elements. It should

Application/Control Number: 09/713,659 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

be noted that "such as" merely points out examples and in fact the "operative component" can include a "spacer". The examiner is also one of ordinary skill in the art and the examiner agrees with applicant that the shaded unlabeled element between members 14 and 22 and 16 and 24 of the French document '198 is a spacer. Since members (22,24) are free to rotate relative to the shaft the only way they would be positively coupled to a retaining ring would be to be welded/fastened to the ring and the ring would then have to be housed within a groove of the shaft. The examiner fails to see any grooves in the shaft where the "ring" or "spacer" is housed. And if the shaded unlabeled element between members 14 and 22 and 16 and 24 of the French document '198 is welded/fastened to the shaft and then welded/fastened to members (22,24) then members (22,24) would not be free to rotate relative to the shaft. Therefore, the shaded unlabeled element between members 14 and 22 and 16 and 24 of the French document '198 clearly illustrates a spacer element that does not positively couple the free weights to the exciter shaft and as such does not fall into applicant's definition what a "mounting hardware" is but instead falls into applicant's broad all encompassing definition of what an "operative component" is.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2-4, 10-23, 25-30 are allowed.
- 8. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP \Rightarrow 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner funility

Art Unit 3673

SS 53 4/18/05

SURVE SANGH PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER